Morphokinetic parameters comparison between euploid and aneuploid blastocysts
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pre-implantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A) has been used for years in embryoselection. However, this is an invasive method and may cause harm to the embryos. Therefore, time-lapse monitoring has been thought to be an alternative approach for embryo selection due to its efficiency. Up to now, several studies were investigating the relationship between the morphokinetic parameters and the embryo ploidy. However, the results are not consistent. This study aims to evaluate the correlation between morphokinetic parameters and PGT-A results.

Methods: This retrospective cohort study was conducted at IVFMD Phu Nhuan, My Duc Phu Nhuan Hospital, between September 2018 and June 2019. Patients undergoing PGT-A due to advanced maternal age, repeated implantation failure or recurrent miscarriage and having embryo cultured under time-lapse monitoring were included. Patients with the re-thawing embryo for PGT-A were not eligible. The time from insemination to the pronuclear appearing (tPN), the onset of two to eight-cell divisions (t2 to t8) and the duration of the second cell cycle (cc2, t3-t2) were observed.

Results: There were 39 patients included in the study, with mean age of 36.4 ± 5.7 years. A total of 110 blastocysts were biopsied. Amongst them, 63 embryos (57.3%) were euploidy (group 1), and 47 embryos (42.7%) were aneuploidy (group 2). There was no significant difference between euploid, and aneuploid embryos regarding all morphokinetic parameters, including tPN, t2, t3, t4, t5, cc2, and t8 (7.2 ± 1.5 hours vs. 7.4 ± 1.6 hours; 25.0 ± 2.8 hours vs. 25.6 ± 3.2 hours; 35.8 ± 3.6 hours vs. 36.9 ± 3.3 hours; 37.5 ± 4.4 hours vs. 38.3 ± 4.3 hours; 49.2 ± 5.52 hours vs. 49.9 ± 6.2 hours; 10.7 ± 2.6 hours vs. 11.2 ± 1.7 hours; and 55.7 ± 6.4 hours vs. 58.1 ± 7.4 hours, respectively).

Conclusion: In this study, we found no difference in the morphokinetic parameters between euploid and aneuploid embryos.
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INTRODUCTION

The selection of an embryo with the highest potential to implant is the top priority of many IVF centers. Up to now, the conventional method for embryo selection is morphology assessment. The cleavage-stage embryo’s morphology was evaluated by the number of blastomeres, blastomere size, and the fragmentation degree. Other characteristics, such as multinucleation or the abnormal zona pellucida, also contribute to this evaluation. At the blastocyst stage, the blastocoel expansion, the number, shape, and cohesion of cells within the inner cell mass, and the trophectoderm are used for the assessment. Some studies showed that these morphology parameters predict the clinical outcome. However, the correlation between embryo morphology and its implantation potential is relatively weak.

Aneuploidy is a critical genetic factor that could influence human reproductive ability. Although morphological evaluation has been the primary method to choose an embryo, it was shown that aneuploid embryos are able to reach high morphological scores. Therefore, pre-implantation genetic testing for aneuploidy (PGT-A) has been used for embryo selection. PGT-A has been indicated for patients at high-risk aneuploidy, such as advanced maternal age, repeated implantation failure, and recurrent miscarriage. The biopsied materials were collected from the polar bodies, the cleavage-blastomeres, and the trophectoderm (TE) cells. Among these biopsied materials, the blastocyst biopsy is the most common. The blastocyst biopsy provides more cells for genetic analysis while decreasing the invasiveness of the biopsy technique. However, the blastocyst biopsy requires extended culture, and investment in a laser system.

On the other hand, the biopsy of polar bodies only contains maternal DNA, and does not represent the embryo ploidy. In contrast, the biopsy of cleavage-blastomere is an invasive technique, which affects the development, and the embryo implantation poten-
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The introduction of time-lapse monitoring (TLM) has allowed the observation of ongoing events during the pre-implantation stage. This system is a non-invasive method that provides more information about the behavior of embryos. The morphokinetic parameters are helpful to predict blastocyst formation, implantation potential, and clinical outcomes. Besides, TLM could be used as another tool for the women in whom PGT-A could not be performed. Up to now, several studies have investigated the relationship between the morphokinetic parameters and the embryo ploidy. However, the results are not consistent among studies. The publications of Minasi et al. (2016), Mumusoglu et al. (2017), and Kimelman et al. (2019) reported a significant association with morphokinetic parameters and embryo ploidy status. Nevertheless, Campbell et al. (2013) and Rienzi et al. (2015) found that no difference between aneuploid and euploid embryos with kinetic behaviors.

The data of the association between embryo morphokinetic parameters and embryo ploidy status has been limited on Asian women with infertility. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine whether aneuploid embryos display the morphokinetic parameters that were significantly different from euploid embryos. If any parameters were established, a further objective was to evaluate the correlation between the morphokinetic parameters and the PGT-A results of Vietnamese patients with a high risk of aneuploidy.

METHODS

Study design
This was a retrospective study conducted at IVFMD Phu Nhuan, My Duc Phu Nhuan Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam, between September 2018 and June 2019. The institutional ethics committee approved this study of My Duc Hospital (14/19/DD-BVMD, dated 30 September 2019).

Study population
Patients having indications for ART treatment and underwent PGT-A due to advanced maternal age, repeated implantation failure or recurrent miscarriage, and having embryo culture under TLM were included. Patients with the re-thawing embryo for PGT-A were not eligible for the study.

IVF protocol
All participants underwent controlled ovarian hyperstimulation with a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) antagonist protocol. Recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone dose (Merck, Germany) was 150–300 IU/day, depending on age, anti-Müllerian hormone levels, body mass index, and ovarian response. Follicle development was monitored using ultrasound, estradiol and progesterone levels. When the mean diameter of at least two follicles was ≥ 17 mm, hCG (Merck, Germany) or GnRH agonist (Merck, Germany) was administered. Thirty-six hours after the trigger, the oocyte cumulus complexes were retrieved by trans-vaginal ultrasound-guided aspiration. Upon retrieval, oocyte cumulus complexes were collected and cultured at 37 °C, 6% CO2, and 5% O2 in the incubator (Origio, Denmark). After that, oocyte denudation was performed by enzymatic digestion with hyaluronidase (SAGE, Denmark) and mechanical pipetting. Fertilization was conducted by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) at 39 – 41 hours after the trigger. All embryos were individually cultured until the blastocyst stage in the time-lapse incubator (ASTEC, Japan) at 37 °C, 6% CO2, and 5% O2 in the incubator (Origio, Denmark). A fertilization check was performed at 16 – 18 hours after insemination. The morphology of cleavage-stage and blastocyst was evaluated at 64 – 68 hours and 112 – 116 hours, respectively, after ICSI. Embryo classification was based on the Istanbul consensus (Alpha Scientists in Reproductive Medicine and ESHRE Special Interest Group Embryology, 2011).

Blastocyst biopsy
Embryo biopsy was performed on day 5. The blastocyst was breached of the zona pellucida (ZP) using a laser. This procedure allowed to creation of an opening in the ZP. After that, biopsy samples contained 5 – 6 TE cells were aspirated by a biopsy pipette (Origio, Denmark) under a laser-assisted cut (RI Saturn 5
hybridized to the bead at 52°C labeled dNTPs were removed, and the samples were cultured every 15 minutes for 60 – 90 mins. After that, the unbound biotin-labeled dNTPs were removed, and the samples were hybridized to the bead at 52°C in a shaking incubator for 16 hours. The signal was detected by the Luminex instrument. The data processing andaneuploidy detection were performed by dedicated software.

The Karyolite Bobs technique was carried out according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The biopsied materials were amplified with biotin-labeled dNTP mix for 60 – 90 mins. After that, the unbound biotin-labeled dNTPs were removed, and the samples were hybridized to the bead at 52°C in a shaking incubator for 16 hours. The signal was detected by the Luminex instrument. The data processing and aneuploidy detection were performed by dedicated software. The PGT-A result showed the ploidy of all biopsied blastocyst was sent to the IVF laboratory. According to the result, an euploid embryo was selected to transfer.

Morphokinetic evaluation

The embryo was assessed based on the morphology and morphokinetic parameters. All events of the preimplantation stage embryo were observed via the Astec time-lapse incubator. The images of embryos cultured in the Astec time-lapse incubator were captured every 15 minutes (Figure 1). All the morphokinetic parameters of the biopsied embryo were assessed before receiving the PGT-A results. The recorded time points were selected based on the described parameters of Basile et al. (2014) included: the time from insemination to the pronuclear appearing (tPN), the onset of two to eight-cell divisions (t2 to t8), and the duration of the second cell cycle (cc2, t3-t2). Besides, the abnormal cleavage characteristic was also recorded at the morphokinetic evaluation time and included: direct cleavage (DC- a single blastomere divided directly from 1 to 3 cells less than 5 hours); reverse cleavage (RVLV- an embryo decrease of the number during division) and MNB (multinucleated blastomeres).

Statistical analysis

Patients’ characteristics and clinical outcomes were analyzed using descriptive statistics. Continuous variables were summarized as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables were presented as frequency (proportion). Biopsied embryos were divided into two groups: euploid or aneuploid, based on PGT-A. Morphokinetic parameters were compared between 2 groups by Student’s test. All statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical package (R version 3.5.0, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). P-value < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Between September 2018 and June 2019, a total of 39 women with 110 biopsied blastocysts were included in this study. The baseline characteristics of patients were shown in Table 1. The mean female age and BMI were 36.4 ± 5.7 years old and 22.0 ± 2.7 kg/m². Among them, 24 (61.5%) patients had advanced maternal age, 11 (28.2%) patients had a recurrent miscarriage, and 4 (10.3%) patients had repeated implantation failure. The detail of ovarian stimulation was summarized in Table 2. The mean number of retrieved oocytes was 13.6 ± 7.9, while the mean number of good quality blastocyst was 3.2 ± 2.4 and the mean biopsied blastocyst was 3.1 ± 1.9 (Table 3). A total of 110 blastocysts was biopsied on day 5/6. Of the 110 analyzed embryos, 63 were euploid, and 47 were aneuploid. Among aneuploid embryos, 17 (36.2%) had monosomy, 14 (29.8%) had trisomy, and 16 (34%) experienced complex abnormalities (more than one abnormal chromosome).

There was no significant difference between euploid and aneuploid embryos according to the morphokinetic parameters, such as tPN (7.2 ± 1.5 hours vs. 7.4 ± 1.6 hours, p > 0.05); t2 (25.0 ± 2.8 hours vs. 25.6 ± 3.2 hours, p > 0.05); t3 (35.8 ± 3.6 hours vs. 36.9 ± 3.3 hours, p > 0.05); t4 (37.5 ± 4.4 hours and 38.3 ± 4.3 hours, p > 0.05); t5 (49.2 ± 5.5 hours vs. 49.9 ± 6.2 hours, p > 0.05); cc2 (10.7 ± 2.6 hours vs. 11.2 ± 1.7 hours, p > 0.05), and t8 (55.7 ± 6.4 hours vs. 58.1 ± 7.4 hours, p > 0.05) (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

This current study has combined the PGT-A technique and time-lapse monitoring to assess the correlation between the embryo ploidy status and morphokinetic parameters on infertility Vietnamese women. The study results demonstrated no significant difference between euploid and aneuploid blastocysts regarding the morphokinetic parameters (tPN, t2, t3, t4, t5, t8, cc2).

Some studies have shown that chromosomal abnormalities are the most common causes of poor clinical outcomes in IVF. Over the past decade, ploidy analysis for the embryo has become popular. Some studies found that PGT-A improves the clinical outcome, such as increasing pregnancy rates, reducing abortion rates, higher birth rates, and lower malformation rates. However, others suggested that...
Figure 1: Embryos were monitored by Astec time-lapse system (IVFMD Phu Nhuan). The embryo morphology and morphokinetic parameters were continuously assessed from the oocytes to the blastocyst stage.

Table 1: The baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The baseline characteristics</th>
<th>N = 39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>36.4 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.0 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMH (ng/mL)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFC (n)</td>
<td>13.0 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of infertility (years)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Infertility type, n (%)

| Primary         | 06 (15.4) |
| Secondary       | 33 (84.6) |

Number of IVF attempts, n (%)

| ≥ 3 | 07 (17.9) |

Data were shown as mean ± SD or number (%)
Table 2: The ovarian stimulation results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>N = 39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline FSH (IU)</td>
<td>257.4 ± 61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of stimulation (days)</td>
<td>10.1 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FSH dose (IU)</td>
<td>2679.5 ± 1192.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 trigger (pmol/L)</td>
<td>3250.9 ± 2652.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4 trigger (pmol/L)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial thickness on trigger day (mm)</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were shown as mean ± SD

Table 3: Embryonic outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>N = 39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of oocytes retrieved (n)</td>
<td>13.6 ± 7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of MII oocytes (n)</td>
<td>10.9 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of 2PN (n)</td>
<td>9.2 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PN rate (%)</td>
<td>86.2 ± 15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of blastocyst (n)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blastocyst formation rate (%)</td>
<td>61.0 ± 24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of good quality blastocyst – G1 + G2 (n)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good quality blastocyst rate (%)</td>
<td>62.9 ± 33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of biopsied blastocyst (n)</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: The morphokinetic parameters of ploidy and aneuploidy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Aneuploidy (N = 47)</th>
<th>Ploidy (N = 63)</th>
<th>Between-group difference (95%CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tPN</td>
<td>7.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.20 (-0.42, 0.81)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>25.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>25.0 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.55 (-0.62, 1.73)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>36.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td>35.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>1.15 (-0.17, 2.47)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>38.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td>37.5 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.77 (-0.90, 2.45)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>49.9 ± 6.2</td>
<td>49.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.73 (-1.55, 3.01)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>58.1 ± 7.4</td>
<td>55.7 ± 6.4</td>
<td>2.41 (-0.28, 5.12)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC2</td>
<td>11.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.47 (-0.34, 1.28)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were shown as mean ± SD; p-values and 95% CI were estimated by Student’s T-Test.

PGT-A did not benefit good-prognosis patients and women with decreased ovarian reserve. This method has been revealed that blastocyst biopsy affects the developmental competence of embryos. Besides, the implantation potential is negatively affected by the biopsied TE cell number in blastocysts with a low TE morphological score. The recent development of genetic technologies has also increased the sensitivity of detecting mosaicism. Indeed, many mosaic embryos are discarded despite their potential for the gradual development of healthy babies. In some situations, the biopsy for patients owning poor prognosis with fewer cleavage-stage embryos or insufficient quality blastocysts could not be performed. In this circumstance, the optimization of non-invasive technologies is essential to select an early embryo stage with the highest developmental potential. Thus, there is an increasing interest in assessing the correlation
between the morphokinetic parameters and embryo ploidy status.

Our study shows similar results with Zhang J et al. (2017) that morphokinetic parameters such as tPN, t2, t3, t4, t5, t8, t9, tcom, tM, tSB, tEB, CC1, CC2, CC3, S2, S3, t5-t2, and tB-tSB showed no significant difference in euploid embryos compared to aneuploidy.27. Campbell A et al. (2013) indicated that no significant difference in the timing of early events in development (t2, t3, cc2, and s2) between euploid and aneuploid embryo; however, they found the association between the tSB and tB parameters and the aneuploid risk.21 Similarly, Rienzi L et al. could not find a correlation between 16 morphokinetic characteristics and aneuploidy rates.22.

On the other hand, Chawla M et al. (2015) pointed out that tPN, t2, t5, CC2, CC3, and t5-t2 differed significantly between aneuploidy and euploidy.11. Similar to this study, the retrospective cohort study of Basile N et al. (2014) also found that chromosomally normal and abnormal embryos have different kinetic behavior. The data analysis identified cc3 (t5-t2) parameter as the most relevant variables related to normal chromosomal content.24. The publication of Carmen N et al. (2016) showed that t3 and t5-t2 parameters were efficient ones associated with complex aneuploidy embryos.29. In other research, Mumusoglu S et al. (2016) reported that only five time-lapse parameters as t9, tM, tSB, tB, and tEB were initially noted to be significantly different among euploid and aneuploid blastocysts.20. Moreover, Minasi M et al. analyzed the morphokinetic parameters of 928 blastocysts. They found that euploid embryos required shorter intervals to start (tSB), complete (tB), expand (tEB), and hatch (tHB) blastocysts compared to aneuploid embryos.5.

To our knowledge, this is the first study that was carried out on Vietnamese women with a high risk of aneuploidy. The strength of our study was the data come from a center experienced in blastocyst biopsy. Some limitations could be considered. Firstly, the study has a small sample size. Secondly, irregular division such as direct cleavage, chaotic division, reverse cleavage, or uneven cleavage was not assessed. Finally, the morphokinetic parameters at the morula and blastocyst stages were not analyzed.

CONCLUSION

Our study found no significant difference in the morphokinetic parameters between euploid and aneuploid embryos when assessing tPN, t2, t3, t4, t5, t8, and cc2 parameters. A study with larger sample size is necessary to demonstrate the correlation between the morphokinetic parameters and PGT-A results on infertility Asian women. The correlation between the time-lapse parameters and embryo ploidy status is still conflicted. The time-lapse parameters cannot be used as a substitute for PGT-A to select euploid embryos accurately. However, the time-lapse parameters provide valuable information for improving embryo selection and productive outcomes. Therefore, the combination of time-lapse parameters and PGT-A could enhance the selection of euploid embryos with the highest implantation potential.

ABBREVIATIONS

AMH: Anti-Muller hormone
ART: Assisted reproductive technology
BMI: Body mass index
FSH: Follicle stimulating hormone
ICSI: Intracytoplasmic sperm injection
IVF: In-vitro fertilization
PGT-A: Pre-implantation Genetic Testing - Aneuploidy
TE: Trophectoderm cell
TLM: time-lapse monitoring
ZP: zona pellucida
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